Criteria	Excellent 100 - 90	Very Good 89 - 80	Somewhat Deficient 79 - 70	Quite Deficient 69 - 60	Unacceptable 59 - 50	50 - 100 Grade	Factor Points
Connection to Theory-to-Practice Grant Program Goals (20%)	The proposal strongly articulates the aim of the TPG Program: to experience real-world situations related to what they have learned and experienced on-campus, to connect theory to practice; to integrate knowledge, ideas and skills; and/or to extend learning through experiential and/or off-campus projects. There is benefit to the participants and the OWU community.		The proposal is <b>generally in- line</b> with the goals of the TPG Program. The proposed project <b>will benefit</b> the participants, <b>but</b> its benefit to the OWU community <b>may be ill defined</b> .	Though the proposal may not explicitly articulate how it connects with the TPG Program's mission, the nature of the activities proposed reflect the spirit of the TPG Program. The outcomes/goals may not be well defined and their links to the TPG Program may be only partially developed.	The outcomes / goals have ill-defined links to TPG Program or are only partially developed.		0
Project Description & Timeline (20%)	The project contains an <b>excellent</b> description of the proposed project including <b>detailed explanations of all</b> activities, objectives, and predicted outcomes, including the itinerary/timeline.	The project contains a very good description of the project including detailed explanations of most activities, objectives, and projected outcomes, but may not include a fully developed itinerary and timeline, for example, but is generally very well done.	The project contains a brief outline or narrative of the proposed project including detailed explanations of some activities, objectives, and projected outcomes, but may only include a partially developed itinerary/timeline.	The project contains a <b>brief outline or narrative</b> of the proposed project that <b>may simply list</b> the activities, objectives, and projected outcomes, including an itinerary/timeline.	The project lacks an acceptable description of proposed activities, an itinerary/timeline, etc.		0
Project Execution & Budget (20%)	Appropriate resources have been identified in the proposal and are willing to help. The proposal contains a detailed itemized budget that includes all anticipated expenditure. Additional funding sources may be included. The figures are clearly stated and likely accurate. Cost per person is reasonable given the objectives.	have not been contacted. The proposal contains a detailed itemized budget that includes all anticipated expenditure. Anticipated total costs seem	Unclear whether the project can realistically be completed. Resources have been identified but most have not been contacted. The proposed budget provides rough expenditures or there are many additional costs that have not been factored into the proposal. Anticipated total costs seem inaccurate.	budget may be included but	The project doesn't provide details to determine if it can be executed. No budget submitted with the proposal.		0

Applicant Name:	Comments for Applicant:		Comments for Committee:			
Followed Directions (10%)	Application abides by <b>all</b> of the Guidelines & Instructions for Submission, including word count limits. Co-Applicant forms are <b>all complete</b> .	Application abides by almost all of the Guidelines & Instructions for Submission, including word count limits. Co-applicant forms are almost all complete.	Application abides by most of the Guidelines & Instructions for Submission, including word count limits. Some co-applicant forms are missing or not complete.	the Guidelines & Instructions for Submission, including word count limits. <b>Most</b> of the co-applicant forms are <b>missing or not complete</b> .	Application abides by very few of the Guidelines & Instructions for Submission, including word count limits. Most of the co-applicant forms are missing or not complete.	0
Evaluation Assessment (10%)	The proposal includes an excellent plan for intentional reflection during the experience and after its completion. The proposal includes an exceptional plan to share findings beyond oneself. When appropriate, the proposal has clearly indicated that the applicant will look for evidence of impact of the experience on oneself or others.	The proposal includes an <b>good plan</b> for intentional reflection during the experience and after its completion. The proposal includes an <b>clearly articulated</b> plan to share findings beyond oneself. When appropriate, the proposal <b>has given a good indication</b> that the applicant will look for evidence of impact of the experience on oneself or others.	The proposal's plan for intentional reflection during the experience and after its completion is <b>not fully developed.</b> The proposal includes an <b>insufficient</b> plan to share findings beyond oneself. When appropriate, the proposal has given some indication that the applicant will look for evidence of impact of the experience on oneself or others.	intentional reflection during the experience and after its completion is <b>poorly</b> <b>developed</b> . The proposal <b>may not include</b> a plan to share findings beyond oneself. When appropriate, the proposal <b>vaguely indicated</b> that the applicant will look for evidence of impact of the	when appropriate, the proposal gave no indication that the applicant will look for evidence of impact of the experience on oneself or others	0
Applicants Background: preparation & value to students' future plans (20%)	The applicant has (or all applicants have) exceptional preparation to execute the project. If necessary, the individual or team has sufficient linguistic skills to execute the project. For all student applicants, there is a direct relationship between the proposed project and the students' future plans.	The applicant has (or the majority of applicants have) appropriate preparation to execute the project. If necessary, the team has a plan in place to deal with linguistics issues. For most (at least half) student applicants, there is a direct relationship between the proposed project and students' future plans.	The applicant has (or at least half of the applicants have) the nominal preparation to execute the project. If necessary, the team has not included adequate information for handling linguistic issues. For the applicant (or more than half the student applicants), there seems to be only a vague connection between the project and students' future plans.	project contains <b>linguistic hurdles</b> that will prevent full execution of the proposal. For the applicant (or the majority of the applicants), there seems	For all student applicants, there is <b>no preparation</b> to execute the project, <b>nor is there any relationship</b> between the proposal and the students' future plans.	0